

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Frademark Office Address: COMMISSIONED FOR PATENTS P.O. BID 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.usdu. bov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,216	10/03/2003	Takayuki Uchida	031732	8587	
23850 7590 03/16/2007 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER		
			VEILLARD, JACQUES		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, 20 20000		2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/677,216	. UCHIDA, TAKAYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jacques Veillard	2165		

		7	ĺ
	Jacques Veillard	2165	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • •	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	✓ will not be entered or b\ ☐ wii	II ha autarad aud au	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	vided below or appended.	ii be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>25-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<u>-</u>			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.  Other:	111		
11/1/16	WPL 2017 1171	IECHMOFOCH OFM	
MPL	CATCALL UTIL COLOR	UPERVISORY PATEN	S
	RAFPÍN HENDEN	CHEFFREY GAI	
	ATENT EXAMINER (VIII)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

**Application No. 10/677,216** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added limitations of "retrieving visible desired information", and "an output section for outputting the desired information on the input screen in accordance with the operation of the display controller" would required further consideration and/or search.